

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Respondent,

v.

WILLIAM A. CRAM (BILL)
(your name)

Appellant.

No. 44015-6-II

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUND FOR REVIEW

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION II
2013 APR 10 AM 11:43
STATE OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

I, WILLIAM A. CRAM, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

SEE ATTACHED NOTES.

Additional Ground 2

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 04-09-2013

Signature: W.A. Cram

COURT OF APPEALS
DIV. 2
OF THE ST. OF WASH.

ST. (RESPONDENT)

04-09-2013

V.

#44015-6-II

WILLIAM A. CRAM (APPELANT)

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS & REVIEW

WE WERE ON LITTLE ST. AND THE POLICE CAME OFF OF 2ND
AVE. (THE OFFICER SAID HE WAS ON THE SAME STREET) ONTO LITTLE
ST. HE SPOT LIGHTED THE CAR (US) THEN PULLED BEHIND
US (AS WE JUST GOT INTO THE CAR AND WAS VISITING). THE
WHOLE CAR WAS ILLUMINATED AS WELL AS ITS OCCUPANTS.

IF THIS TERRY STOP WAS ABOUT THE CAR'S PLATES
AND REGISTERED OWNER HAVING A WARRENT WHY WASN'T
SHE QUESTIONED AND I.D. ED. THE FOCUS WAS ON
ME NOT THE TIME OR SITUATION AS LEAD TO BELIEVE.
I COULD TELL BY THE WAY IT ALL HAPPEND FROM
BEGINNING TO END AND I NEVER AT ANY POINT
FELT LIKE I COULD NOT ANSWER THE OFFICER OR
THAT I COULD LEAVE THE SITUATION. THE LIGHTS
WERE SHINING ON ME AND AROUND WHERE I WAS
SITTING. THE OFFICER ASKED (REPEATEDLY)
IF WE THOUGHT WE LOOKED SUSPICIOUS AND WE
SAID NO WE WERE JUST SITTING VISITING UNTIL 6AM
WHEN I COULD GO IN. MY WINDOW WAS UP
AT THE TIME THE OFFICER ENGAGED ON US.

A CITAZEN IS NOT A JUDGE OR LAWYER
AND IN MY OPINION 99% OF CITAZENS WOULD
FEEL SEIZED IN THE SAME CURCOMSTANCES.
ALL I'VE TALKED TO ABOUT THIS (AS CITAZINS)
SAID THEY WOULD FEEL SEIZED.

W. A. Cram